# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/944,922	08/31/2001	Joseph B. Fuller	29409/01	2250
Joseph T. Guy	7590 06/15/2007 Ph D		EXAMINER	
Nexsen Pruet Jacobs & Pollard, LLC			TORRES, ALICIA M	
PO Drawer 10	• . •		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Greenville, SC 29603-0648			3671	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/15/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		•			
Office Action Summany	09/944,922	FULLER, JOSEPH B.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Alicia M. Torres	3671			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sneet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNI R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
,	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 February 2007</u> .				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·				
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex рапе Quayle</i> , 1935 С.L	J. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,2,4 and 5 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are witho 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,2,4 and 5 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	drawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam		by the Everniner			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	rection is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No.	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application			

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/944,922

Art Unit: 3671

In view of the newly discovered reference to Wolffe, Sr. 4,688,376, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. A new ground of rejection is set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
- (2) initiate a new appeal by filing a notice of appeal under 37 CFR 41.31 followed by an appeal brief under 37 CFR 41.37. The previously paid notice of appeal fee and appeal brief fee can not be applied to the new appeal since applicant(s) have received a decision from the BPAI.

A Technology Center Director or designee must personally approve the new ground(s) of rejection and reopening prosecution by signing below:



#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Winslow 2,038,697 in view of Moore 4,107,901 and Wolfe, Sr. 4,688,376.

Winslow discloses a device comprising:

- A base (1) including
  - o A motor (not shown, see column 1, lines 38-41) attached directly to the base (1)
  - o Two unidirectional wheels (3) attached to the front of the base (1)

Application/Control Number: 09/944,922 Page 3

Art Unit: 3671

o A multidirectional wheel (4) attached to the rear of the base (1)

- o A handle (10)
- o A universal joint (see Figure 4) comprising
  - two non-parallel axles (13, 15) connecting the handle (10) to the rear of the base (1) above the multi-directional wheel (4)
  - a handle couple (11) attached to the handle (10)
  - a link (12) rotatably attached to the handle couple (11)
  - a bracket (14) rotatably attached to the link (12) and attached to the base
     (1)
- o A recess (see Figure 2).

While Winslow discloses that the connection may be used on a lawn mower, Winslow does not specifically disclose wherein the motor is attached to and rotates a line-cutting element. Winslow fails to disclose a lower grip attached to the handle.

Moore discloses a wheeled lawn mower wherein the electric motor (6) is attached to and rotates a line-cutting element (11).

Wolfe, Sr. discloses a similar apparatus including a lower grip (F) attached to the handle (I).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the cutting line of Moore on the lawn mower of Winslow in order to provide a cutting device with simple and lightweight construction.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the lower grip as disclosed by Wolfe, Sr. on the device of Winslow since it can be desirable for providing easy manipulation of the assembly.

With respect to the multidirectional wheel (4) of Winslow, it appears the wheel is multidirectional since a user would be forced to drag the wheel (4) across the lawn in order to get from the position shown in Figure 2 to that of Figure 3 if the structure were any otherwise.

## Response to Arguments

3. Claim 3 was originally rejected as being unpatentable over Winslow in view of Moore and Yamada. This rejection was overturned by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences with the reason given that the trimmer disclosed by Yamada, having the lower grip, is designed to have its weight fully supported by the operator. It can be seen that the lower-grip limitation added to claim 1 is now rejected with Wolfe, Sr. Wolfe, Sr. discloses a similar trimming apparatus attached to and fully supported by a wheeled cart for lightweight and easy use that is kind to the back of the user.

#### Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alicia M. Torres whose telephone number is 571-272-6997. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 7:00 a.m. – 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas B. Will, can be reached at 571-272-6998.

Art Unit: 3671

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-3600. The fax number for this Group is 571-273-8300.

Thomas B. Will Supervisory Patent Examine

Group Art Unit 3671

AMT April 27, 2007